

From: [REDACTED]
To: [A303 Stonehenge](#)
Subject: Stonehenge "Bypass - or NOT"
Date: 26 September 2022 13:40:53

Dear the Rt Hon Anne-Marie Trevelyan MP,

I am horrified to gather that after an initial Consultation, various major objections and Objectors and a further report from UNESCO's Advisory Mission all condemning the original Plan as proposed to build a 'Stonehenge Bypass' (original Plan) you would appear to be HELL BENT ON PROCEEDING with this truly destructive and, frankly unnecessary plan.

I have read the [report of the Advisory Mission](#) and agree with its principal finding, i.e. that the scheme would have an adverse impact on the OUV of the WHS and that a southern bypass should be further explored.

The Mission admitted that at the very least the western limit of the tunnel should be extended to the WHS boundary.

National Highways has simply reiterated many of the arguments it has raised previously to justify a scheme which is **clearly unacceptable to the Government's independent specialist examiners, UNESCO's World Heritage Committee, and the former Transport Secretary himself who agreed with the examining panel that the scheme would be "significantly adverse" overall.**

Should the Transport Secretary intend to proceed with the scheme, I trust that it will be subject of another formal Public Examination so that all of the new information submitted by National Highways and others since 2020 may be fully and openly discussed, and taken into account and advised upon by the Government's independent Planning Inspectorate. I say this personally in the hope that the entire scheme is dropped forever. Having delays upon ONE particular road is simply a matter we can all live with - OR find another route.

National Highways' response fails to alleviate any of the above concerns and I will continue to object to the proposals and hope that the scheme will be abandoned.

We are facing a worse and more bleak future if we FAIL TO RECOGNISE NATURE AT EACH AND EVERY OPPORTUNITY! This would seem to be increasingly the case as more and more land is 'stolen' from Nature to build on and more land is proposed to be 'stolen' to widen and/or extend road for traffic we might perhaps be trying to reduce - NOT ENCOURAGE!

PLEASE REMEMBER - EVERYTHING WE DO TO ADVERSLY AFFECT NATURE IS/WILL BE TO OUR DETRIMENT!

Regards,

Jonathan and Angela Brooks.